TEACHT OATE THATOHA ADMINISTRATION		
	TRANSMITTAL NUMBER:	2. STATE:
TRANSMITTAL AND NOTICE OF APPROVAL OF	0 0 — 2 4	Kansas
STATE PLAN MATERIAL	3. PROGRAM IDENTIFICATION: TITL	E XIX OF THE SOCIAL
FOR: HEALTH CARE FINANCING ADMINISTRATION	SECURITY ACT (MEDICAID)	
TO: REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR	4. PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE	
HEALTH CARE FINANCING ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES	October 1, 2000	
5. TYPE OF PLAN MATERIAL (Check One):	<u> </u>	
		454544545
□ NEW STATE PLAN □ AMENDMENT TO BE CONS		MENDMENT
COMPLETE BLOCKS 6 THRU 10 IF THIS IS AN AMENDI	The state of the s	endment)
6. FEDERAL STATUTE/REGULATION CITATION:	7. FEDERAL BUDGET IMPACT: a. FFY 2001 \$ 22	24,584
42 CFR 447.253	b. FFY 2002 \$ 22	4,584
8. PAGE NUMBER OF THE PLAN SECTION OR ATTACHMENT:	9. PAGE NUMBER OF THE SUPERSE	DED PLAN SECTION
Attachment 4.19D	OR ATTACHMENT (If Applicable):	
Part II	Attachment 4.19D	
Subpart 0	Part II Subpart 0	
Exhibit 0-1 Pages 2 & 3	Exhibit 0-1	
rages 2 & 3	Pages 2 & 3	
10. SUBJECT OF AMENDMENT:		
Payment rates for non-state intermediate	o como focilitica for th	
mentally retarded.	e care facilities for th	le
11. GOVERNOR'S REVIEW (Check One):		
☐ GOVERNOR'S OFFICE REPORTED NO COMMENT	☑ OTHER, AS SPECIFIED:	
☐ COMMENTS OF GOVERNOR'S OFFICE ENCLOSED	Secretary Schalansky	is the
☐ NO REPLY RECEIVED WITHIN 45 DAYS OF SUBMITTAL	Governor's Designee	
12. SIGNATURE OF STATE AGENCY OFFICIAL: 16.	RETURN TO:	
fort Schalansh	Janet Schalansky, Secr	etary
13. TYPED NAME:	SRS	
Janet Schalansky	6th Floor, DSOB 915 SW Harrison	
14. TITLE: V	Topeka, KS 66612	
Secretary 15. DATE SUBMITTED:	10pena, No 00012	
12/27/00		
FOR REGIONAL OFFICE		
17. DATE RECEIVED: 18. 12/28/00	MAR, 1 5 2001	
PLAN APPROVED - ONE		
19. EFFECTIVE DATE OF APPROVED MATERIAL: 20 1 2000	SIGNATURE OF REGIONAL OFFICIAL	
子媒体 사람들 선택했다면 그 모습이 하는 그는 사람들이 있어요. 이 그는 그는 그는 그는 그는 것이 그리고 하는 것이 되었다면 하는 것이 모든 바람이다.	TITLE:) - V	
Thomas W. Lenz	ARA for Medicaid and State 0	perations
23 REMARKS:	op the the treatment of	
	생물 이번 잃었다는 그렇게 보신 없었다.	Home modern arbeit
Schalansky S	PA CONTROL	
Haverkamp	late Submitted 12/27/00	
CONTRACTOR TO THE PROPERTY OF	ate Received 12/28/00	an blesomathing policycat
A SHARE WAS THE WAS THE WAS A SHARE WAS A		in the grade of the Countries of the te

Attachment 4.19 D
Part II
Subpart O
Exhibit 0-1
Page 2

II. Or, all other ICF's/MR (nonstate) (class 2)

Levels of Care:

The level of functioning is calculated by screening all ICF/MR clients in Kansas using the Developmental Disabilities Profile (DDP), which rates clients on each of three indexes: adaptive functioning, maladaptive behavior, and health needs. Facility converted scores are obtained by performing the following calculations:

- 1. Each index score is divided by the highest score obtained in Kansas in a given year for the corresponding index.
- 2. The resulting scores for each index are added together and averaged.
- 3. The resulting number is multiplied by 100. (Thus, the maximum possible converted score is 300).

Using the above methodology, five levels of facilities are identified based on the following converted DDP scores:

CONVERTED DDP SCORES
150 - and up
125 - 149.99
100 - 124.99
75 - 99.99
50 - 74.99

Direct service limits are based on facility size; divided into three groups: above 16 beds; 9 to 16 beds; and 4 to 8 beds; and level of functioning using the chart above.

	Facility <u>Size</u>	<u>Level I</u>	<u>Level II</u>	Level III	Level IV	Level V
A.	+16 beds	\$120.00	\$115.25	\$ 98.66	\$ 82.39	\$ 59.85
B.	9-16 beds	\$135.00	\$129.59	\$103.72	\$ 92.08	\$ 69.54
C.	4-8 beds	\$155.00	\$141.49	\$129.05	\$ 99.91	\$ 78.55

Administrative per diem limits are based on the size of the facility, using the same classes as referred to above.

MAR 1 5 2001

TN # MS-00-24 Approval Date _____ Effective Date 10/1/2000 Supersedes MS 97-14

Attachment 4.19 D
Part II
Subpart O
Exhibit 0-1
Page 3

A.	+16 beds	\$ 8.25
B.	9-16 beds	\$15.25
C.	4-8 beds	\$22.00

Ownership allowance is established by a property fee system, which is a continuation of the system used previously. The fee has been calculated by analyzing all facility costs, arranging them from high to low, placing them into five groups and adding "value factors":

VALUE FACTOR

The per diem reimbursement for facility ownership is based on the historic cost of each facility. The value factor was to reward those with low ownership costs – mortgage interest, rent/lease expense, amortization and depreciation. The value factor calculations for ICFs/MR may be found below and are the same as used in the Nursing Facility program (see Medicaid State Plan transmittal #87-43, effective 10-1-87, approved 2-5-88).

Calculation methodology for the value factor:

1) Property Allowance Calculation

The four line items of ownership cost-mortgage interest, rent/lease expense, amortization and depreciation—were added together and divided by client days to arrive at the ownership cost per client day for each provider.

2) Value Factor Calculation

For all providers the property allowances were arrayed based on facility size and percentiles were established. These percentiles became the basis for establishing the property value factor. Five different percentile groupings were developed from each array as follows.

Group <u>No.</u>	Percentile Ranking	Add-on Percent
1	Zero through 25th Percentile	45%
2	26 th through 50 th Percentile	15%
3	51 st through 75 th Percentile	7.5%
4	76 th through 85 th Percentile	5%
5	86th through 100th Percentile	-0-

Attachment 4.19 D
Part II
Subpart O
Exhibit 0-1
Page 2

II. Or, all other ICF's/MR (nonstate) (class 2)

Levels of Care:

The level of functioning is calculated by screening all ICF/MR clients in Kansas using the Developmental Disabilities Profile (DDP), which rates clients on each of three indexes: adaptive functioning, maladaptive behavior, and health needs. Facility converted scores are obtained by performing the following calculations:

- 1. Each index score is divided by the highest score obtained in Kansas in a given year for the corresponding index.
- 2. The resulting scores for each index are added together and averaged.
- 3. The resulting number is multiplied by 100. (Thus, the maximum possible converted score is 300).

Using the above methodology, five levels of facilities are identified based on the following converted DDP scores:

<u>LEVELS</u>	CONVERTED DDP SCORES
T assel T	150 1
Level I	150 - and up
Level II	125 - 149.99
Level III	100 - 124.99
Level IV	75 - 99.99
Level V	50 - 74.99

Direct service limits are based on facility size; divided into three groups: above 16 beds; 9 to 16 beds; and 4 to 8 beds; and level of functioning using the chart above.

	Facility <u>Size</u>	Level I	<u>Level II</u>	<u>Level II</u>	Level IV	Level V
A.	+16 beds	\$120.00	\$115.25	\$ 100.00	\$ 82.39	\$ 59.85
B.	9-16 beds	\$137.50	\$129.59	\$127.00	\$ 112.00	\$ 69.54
C.	4-8 beds	\$170.00	\$153.00	\$140.50	\$ 99.91	\$ 78.55

Administrative per diem limits are based on the size of the facility, using the same classes as referred to above.

TN MS-00-24 Approval Date MAR 15 Effective Date 10/1/2000 Supersedes MS-95-14

Attachment 4.19 D
Part II
Subpart O
Exhibit 0-1
Page 3

A.	+16 beds	\$ 9.50
B.	9-16 beds	\$15.25
C.	4-8 beds	\$22.00

Ownership allowance is established by a property fee system, which is a continuation of the system used previously. The fee has been calculated by analyzing all facility costs, arranging them from high to low, placing them into five groups and adding "value factors":

VALUE FACTOR

The per diem reimbursement for facility ownership is based on the historic cost of each facility. The value factor was to reward those with low ownership costs – mortgage interest, rent/lease expense, amortization and depreciation. The value factor calculations for ICFs/MR may be found below and are the same as used in the Nursing Facility program (see Medicaid State Plan transmittal #87-43, effective 10-1-87, approved 2-5-88).

Calculation methodology for the value factor:

1) Property Allowance Calculation

The four line items of ownership cost—mortgage interest, rent/lease expense, amortization and depreciation—were added together and divided by client days to arrive at the ownership cost per client day for each provider.

2) Value Factor Calculation

For all providers the property allowances were arrayed based on facility size and percentiles were established. These percentiles became the basis for establishing the property value factor. Five different percentile groupings were developed from each array as follows.

Group <u>No.</u>	Percentile Ranking	Add-on <u>Percent</u>
1	Zero through 25th Percentile	45%
2	26 th through 50 th Percentile	15%
3	51 st through 75 th Percentile	7.5%
4	76 th through 85 th Percentile	5%
5	86th through 100th Percentile	-0-